			OLPE 40		EPW AF
TRANSMITTAL LETTER (General - Patent Pending)			MAY 0 4 2006 W	Docket No. PCIP.248	
In Re Application C	Df: Masaru Yasui et	al.	TRADEWSON	•	
Application No. 09/890,214	Filing Date May 31, 2002	Examiner Paulos M. Nata	Customer No. el 20987	Group Art Unit 2614	Confirmation No. 8898
	LATION METHOD F LATION FOR A VIDE		L AND DISPLAY DEV	ICE WITH FUN	CTION OF
Transmitted herewi	th in:	COMMISSIONER FO	OR PATENTS:		
Record of Teleph					
 A check in the Director as described Cha Cred Cred Cha Cred Cha Cred WARNING: I 	Il fee is required. The amount of This hereby authorized If below. The amount of dit any overpayment. The any additional fee credit card. Form PTC nformation on this f	0-2038 is attached. form may become pi			not be
ENNETH D. SPRIN	Signature NGER		Dated: 4 Ma	y 2006	
REG. NO. 39,483 OLENTINE FRANC 1951 FREEDOM D RESTON, VA 20190 EL. NO. (571) 283-)	C.	sufficient postag addressed to th	the United States ge as first class	pondence is being Postal Service with mail in an envelope or Patents, P.O. Box 37 CFR 1.8(a)] on
:c:				e of Person Mailing C d Name of Person Ma	orrespondence iling Correspondence

Appl. No. 09/890,214 Record of Telephonic Interview On 3 May 2006

Appl. No.	:	09/890,214
Applicant(s)	:	Masaru YASUI et al.
Filed	:	31 May 2002

TC/A.U. : 2614 Examiner : Paulos M. NATAEL

Atty. Docket : J-99026

Title: INTERPOLATION METHOD FOR A VIDEO SIGNAL AND DISPLAY DEVICE WITH FUNCTION OF INTERPOLATION FOR A VIDEO SIGNAL

RECORD OF TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Customer Window, Mail Stop <u>AF</u> Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

Applicants in the above-identified U.S. Patent application desire to make of record the substance of a brief telephonic interview between the Examiner and the undersigned attorney for Applicants conducted on 3 May 2006.

On 3 May 2006, the undersigned attorney for Applicants telephoned the Examiner to request clarification regarding two items in the FINAL Office Action dated 22 February 2006. In particular, the undersigned attorney for Applicants pointed out that claim 15 depends from claim 9, and that while claim 9 is allowed, claim 15 remains rejected in the Office Action. The Examiner agreed that this was an error in the Office Action. The undersigned attorney for Applicants also noted that he had specifically traversed the Examiner's statement of Official Notice in response to the previous Office Action dated 12 July 2005, but that the FINAL Office Action does not contain any evidence in support of the Official Notice, or a personal affidavit

Atty. Docket No. J-99026

by the Examiner as required by the M.P.E.P. § 2144.03 and 37 C.F.R. § 1.104(d)(2).

Accordingly, the Examiner agreed to issue a new Office Action, with a new mailing date, to replace the outstanding FINAL Office Action, in order to address these two issues. The Examiner indicated that the new Office Action would be forthcoming before 22 May 2006.

In the event that there are any misunderstandings regarding the substance of the interview being documented here, the Examiner is invited to contact Kenneth D. Springer (Reg. No. 39,843) at (571) 283.0720 to discuss these matters.

Respectfully submitted,

VOLENTINE FRANCOS & WHITT, P.L.L.C.

Date: <u>4 May 2006</u>

By:

Kenneth D. Springer Registration No. 39,843

VOLENTINE FRANCOS & WHITT, P.L.L.C. One Freedom Square 11951 Freedom Drive, Suite 1260 Reston, Virginia 20190 Telephone No.: (571) 283-0724 Facsimile No.: (571) 283-0740